

Frederick J. Geonetta (SBN 114824)
fred@geonetta-frucht.com
 Kenneth N. Frucht (SBN 178881)
kfrucht@gmail.com
 GEONETTA & FRUCHT, LLP
 100 Montgomery Street, Suite 1600
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Tel: 415.421.4785
 Fax: 415.392.7973

Robert A. Weikert (SBN 121146)
rweikert@nixonpeabody.com
 Matthew J. Frankel (SBN 256633)
mfrankel@nixonpeabody.com
 Sushila Chanana (SBN 254100)
schanana@nixonpeabody.com
 NIXON PEABODY LLP
 One Embarcadero Center, 18th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94111-3600
 Tel.: 415.984.8200
 Fax: 415.984.8300

R. Mark Halligan (admitted pro hac vice)
rmhalligan@nixonpeabody.com
 NIXON PEABODY LLP
 300 South Riverside Plaza, 16th Floor
 Chicago, IL 60606
 Tel: 312.425.3900
 Fax: 312.425.3909

Attorneys for Plaintiff MMCA Group, LTD.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

MMCA GROUP, LTD., a Virginia corporation,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, et al

 Defendants.

Civil Action No. CV 06-07067-MMC (EMC)
 IN PART
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER SEALING MMCA's
 OPPOSITIONS TO
 TO HP'S MOTIONS *IN LIMINE* NOS. 1-4 &
 6-8 AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE;

[L.R. Civ. P. 79-5]
 [No Hearing Required]
 Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

On February 8, 2010, Plaintiff MMCA Group, Ltd. ("MMCA") filed an Administrative Motion to Seal ("Administrative Motion") and the Declaration of Matthew Frankel in Support of MMCA's Administrative Motion ("Declaration").

The Court, having considered the Administrative Motion and Declaration, finds the following information shall be filed under seal:


- PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 1 TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENT REGARDING ALLEGATIONS OF TRADE SECRET DISCLOSURE THROUGH DMS
- PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 2 (DAMAGES IRRELEVANT TO REMAINING CLAIMS)
- PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 3 TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENT REGARDING LUIS ORTEGA'S EMPLOYMENT INTERVIEWS
- PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 4 TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENT REGARDING THREE ETHICS COMPLAINTS
- PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 6 (VARIOUS)
- PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 7 (COZZOLINA/HUNT EMAIL CHAIN)
- PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 8 TO EXCLUDE INADMISSIBLE AND PAROL EVIDENCE REGARDING THE MASTER SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN HP AND MMCA
- PLAINTIFF MMCA GROUP, LTD.'S OMNIBUS DECLARATION OF KENNETH FRUCHT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF MMCA GROUP, LTD.'S OPPOSITIONS TO DEFENDANT HP'S MOTIONS IN LIMINE, AND ACCOMPANYING EXHIBITS A-FF, A-N, P-V, X-FF.

Therefore, pursuant to Rule 79 of the Local Civil Rules of the Northern District of California, the Administrative Motion is GRANTED, to the extent set forth above.

FURTHER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: To the extent plaintiff seeks leave to file under seal Exhibits O and W to the Omnibus Declaration of Kenneth Frucht, the motion is denied, and the Clerk shall file said exhibits ~~Whenever filed, in whole or in part, with the Court in this case, the material referenced above~~ in the public record. ~~shall be filed under seal.~~

Dated: March 2, 2010


Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Court Judge